REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter in the Office Action mailed July 26, 2006. Claims 1 through 49 were pending in the present application when last examined. Claims 1-10 and 27-38 are allowed. Claims 11-26 and 39-49 stand rejected.

In this paper, no claims are added, claims 11-19 are cancelled without prejudice, and claim 26 is amended.

I. ISSUES NOT RELATED TO CITED REFERENCES

Claims 26 and 39-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as allegedly directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 26 has been amended to refer to "computer-readable storage medium," which is clearly a "manufacture" and therefore qualifies as statutory subject matter. Reconsideration of the rejection with respect to Claim 26 is thus respectfully requested.

Claim 39 as previously presented already recites a "computer-readable storage medium".

Claims 40-49 refers to "computer-readable storage medium" by reason of their dependency from

Claim 39. Withdrawal of the rejection with respect to Claims 39-49 is respectfully requested.

II. ISSUES RELATED TO CITED REFERENCES

Claims 11-15, 17-20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,668,877 to Aziz (hereinafter *Aziz*). While Applicant disagrees with the grounds for rejection and would traverse, Applicant Claims 11-15, 17-20 and 22-25 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, solely for the purpose of administrative efficiency and to expedite prosecution. Applicant reserves the right to address the rejections in a continuing application.

Claims 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Aziz* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,629,243 to Kleinman et al. While Applicant disagrees with the

Srivastava, Ser. No. 10/715,721, GAU 2131, Examiner C. Laforgia REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION

grounds for rejection and would traverse, Claims 16 and 21 are canceled without prejudice or

disclaimer, solely for the purpose of administrative efficiency and to expedite prosecution.

Applicant reserves the right to address the rejections in a continuing application.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims

are now in condition for allowance. Therefore, the issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance is

believed next in order, and that action is most earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is

believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application. If any

applicable fee is missing or insufficient, throughout the pendency of this application, the

Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any applicable fees from and to credit any

overpayments to our Deposit Account No. 50-1302.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Dated: September 21, 2006

Zhiehong Gu

Reg. No. 56,543

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550

San Jose, California 95110-1089 Telephone No.: (408) 414-1080

Facsimile No.: (408) 414-1076

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for

Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.